### RESPONSE SHEET

TO:	SAMUEL J	l. CHILK, S	SECRETARY O	F THE COMMISS	ION	
FROM:	CHAIRMAN	PALLADINO				
SUBJECT:				REQUEST FOR EX SMELTED ALLO		
				ABS		-
				T DISCUSSION_		ب <u>ل</u> ا .
COMMENTS:					Í	wiced.
I approve s	taff's reco , I approve be modified	mmendations OPE's chang accordingly	using OPE's ses to Enclosuusing the sa	uggested rationa re E and request me rationale.	le for denial. Enclosures B,	2
				97. J	Palledi	No.
		YES	NO	12-	11-55 DATE	
Entered or	n "AS"	X			DATE	<del></del>
SECRETARIA	AT NOTE:	PLEASE AL MEMORANDU	SO RESPOND M IF ONE HA	TO AND/OR COM AS BEEN ISSUED	MENT ON OGC/ ON THIS PAP	OPE ER.

NRC-SECY FORM DEC. 80

## RESPONSE SHEET

T0:	SAMUEL J.	CHILK, S	ECRETARY OF	THE COM	MISSION	
FROM:	Commission	NER ROBERT	's			
SUBJECT:			L OF DOE REC		EXEMPTION TO LLOYS	
APPROVED_ NOT PARTIO	•				ABSTAIN	
COMMENTS:						
				H	M. Polaut SIGNATURE	<u></u>
Donkey 2	"P.C."	YES	NO V	/.	7/86	
Entered on SECRETARIA	AT NOTE:	/ Please als	// SO RESPOND <sup>-</sup>	/ ro and/or	/ DATE .comment on ogc/ope	
JEONE I I III I		MEMORANDU	IF ONE HA	S BEEN IS	SUED ON THIS PAPER.	

NRC-SECY FORM Dec. 80

## RESPONSE SHEET

TO:	SAMUEL J	CHILK,	SECRETARY	OF THE	Commission	
FROM:	COMMISSIO	NER BERNT	HAL			
SUBJECT:	SECY-85-37 PERMIT SAI	73 - DENIA LVAGING CO	AL OF DOE ONTAMINATE	REQUEST ED SMELTE	FOR EXEMPTION D ALLOYS	то
APPROVED_ NOT PARTIO					_ABSTAIN	
COMMENTS:	Su	e at	tuch	ed.		
						_
					SIGNATU	S. C.
	# - a #	YES	NO		12/18/	25
Entered or SECRETARIA		PLEASE A	LSO RESPO	ND TO AN	D/OR COMMENT	ON OGC/OPE
		MEMORANDI	UM IF ONE	HAS BEE	N ISSUED ON T	HIS PAPER.

NRC-SECY FORM DEC 80

#### Comments of Commissioner Bernthal on SECY 35-373:

I do not necessarily disagree with the current Staff recommendation, and in particular, should satisfactory answers be provided to the questions and comments below, I would agree with the Chairman's comments on the OPE paper. But I am surprised by more than one aspect of this matter:

1) Why have Staff (and presumably DOE) apparently ignored the worthwhile suggestions made by EPA in its January 26, 1981 letter? Virtually the first thought that occurs in relation to the difficult question of permitting general use of such salvaged materials in consumer products is, as EPA noted:

"To avoid the potential problems of industrial or consumer use we recommend that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) give more consideration to recycle of the materials within the nuclear industry. Another alternative which analysis may show feasible is to consider commercial uses that, at least following the initial smelting, place the material in products that minimally expose individuals, e.g. battery components or rails....A third alternative which was inadequately addressed in the DES is the recycle of the DOE materials back to DOE facilities."

Has any thought at all been given to the potential use of this material in the fabrication of structural components and/or waste package materials under development by DOE for its high-level waste repository?

- 2) I could not help but note that the attachment to the above referenced EPA letter listed numerous apparent conceptual and analytical errors in NRC's draft NUREG-0518. If the EPA analysis is even approximately correct, I would frankly have been embarrassed to have been associated with such work, and would probably have joined the 3000-odd dissenters. It is not surprising EPA rated our work "Category 3 (Inadequate)", whatever that may have meant. I trust that the NRC Staff of today would improve considerably on similar work in the future.
- 3) I would like ACRS to provide its views on the general standards suggested in the original draft EIS, and on EPA's comments and recommendations.
- 4) Finally, Staff should explain what happened to this matter between 1981 and 1985.

## RESPONSE SHEET

TO:	SAMUEL	J.	CHILK,	SECR	ETARY OF	THE	Commis	SION		
FROM:	Commissi	ON	ER ASSEI	LSTIN	NE .					
SUBJECT:	SECY-85- PERMIT S	37. AL	3 - DENI VAGING (	IAL C	OF DOE RE	QUEST SMELT	FOR E ED ALL	XEMPTI OYS	ON TO	
APPROVED_ NOT PARTIO	CIPATING_	<u>-</u>			VED REQUEST					<del></del>
COMMENTS:										
						_	1	<u> </u>	6u	String
			VEC		NO			SIGNAT		
Entered on	u "AS"		YES	_	<u>NO</u>		12.	- /と- DAT		
SECRETARI	AT NOTE:	F	LEASE A	LSO DUM I	RESPOND F ONE HA	TO AN	D/OR C	OMMENT	ON OG	C/OPE APER.

NRC-SECY FORM DEC. 80

# RESPONSE SHEET

TO:	SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION
FROM:	COMMISSIONER ZECH
SUBJECT:	SECY-85-373 - DENIAL OF DOE REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION TO PERMIT SALVAGING CONTAMINATED SMELTED ALLOYS
	CIPATING REQUEST DISCUSSION
COMMENTS:	OPE  REQUEST DISCUSSION  OPE  Recommend redrapt The letter to govern regular than the contract of the stronger push ficulture.
	Recommend redraft the letter to Go
	reflect the strong just hichen.
	Landon Zent
	YES NO 12-6-81
Entered on	·
SECRETARI.	AT NOTE: PLEASE ALSO RESPOND TO AND/OR COMMENT ON OGC/OPE MEMORANDUM IF ONE HAS BEEN ISSUED ON THIS PAPER.

NRC-SECY FORM Dec. 80